UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,798	12/29/2005	Toru Maeda	070456-0098	8704
20277 MCDERMOTT	7590 03/28/2007 「WILL & EMERY LL		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STR			HARRIS, GARY D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/562,798	MAEDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gary D. Harris	1773				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Wh - E a - If - F A	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE STATE AND A STATE OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of allure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co	•			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D	ecember 2005					
_		action is non-final.					
3)[	, <del>,</del>						
٠/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	sition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	nn					
7/1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5 <b>\</b> [	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· -	·						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applic	ation Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a)						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received							
Charles OLIANES							
		UARI SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER				
Attachment(s)							
_	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	otice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7 & 9-15, drawn to a Soft Magnetic Material.

Group II, claim(s) 8 & 16, drawn to a Method for producing a Dust Core.

The inventions listed as Groups I do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The Dust Core of Group I, could be produced by different methods including chemical deposition, vacuum forming, etc.

A telephone call was made to Stephen Becker on 3/2/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary D. Harris whose telephone number is 571-272-6508. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,798 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GH

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER